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Abstract: The fundamental obstacle to neuroprostheses based on penetrating microstimulation is the tissue’s response to the device insertion and to the application of the electrical stimulation. Our long-term goal is to develop multichannel microstimulation of central nervous tissue for clinical therapy. The overall objective of this research is to identify the optimal parameters for a chronically implanted microstimulation device. In particular, the work presented here focuses on the effects of repeated stimulation and the reactive tissue response on the efficacy of stimulation-driven behavior. To this end, psychophysical experiments were performed using multichannel cortical implants in the auditory cortex of rats. Further, we investigated the effect of the device–tissue interfacial quality on the psychophysical threshold. Here, we report the effects of cortical depth, days postimplant on the psychophysical threshold of auditory cortical microstimulation, along with correlated impedance spectral changes and post viva histology. We expect that these data will further enable neuroprosthetic development.
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Introduction

Brain machine interfaces (BMIs) aim to transduce information between the world and the subjective experience of the individual. The modern nexus of this dimensional exchange is typically an electrode. From atop the brain or implanted among the glial cells and neurons, the electrode can passively receive or actively drive the dynamics of the local neural cells. This ability to directly interact with the functional networks of the brain has allowed clinicians to lessen the severity of patients’ movement disorders (Benabid et al., 2009), grant volitional control over devices to the paralyzed (Hochberg et al., 2006; Kennedy
Nonetheless, one serious and nagging problem for many BMIs is failure of effective transduction, putatively due to the reactive tissue response to the indwelling implanted device. Determining whether electrodes can inhabit the brain for decades and continue to interact and provide valuable information is the most significant BMI hurdle. Efforts to develop the proper signal processing techniques and the most effective stimulation patterns are useful endeavors only if the physical connection between the mind and the machine is viable. The answer to the question of long-term device viability varies based on the application. Indeed, for some BMIs, such as deep brain stimulation (Benabid et al., 2009) and surface cortical stimulation (Dobelle, 2000), the issue seems to be mostly resolved, with devices showing functionality extending well beyond 10 years. Further, some arrays used for recording, such as the neurotrophic electrode (Bartels et al., 2008) and cyberkinetics array (Kim et al., 2008), have also showed functionality in humans for years after the initial implantation. However, for systems that rely on the ability of microelectrodes implanted within the cerebral cortex to record and stimulate highly localized cell populations, this problem remains frustratingly unresolved.

This chapter focuses exclusively on intracortical microstimulation (ICMS) of primary sensory cortical structures. After a brief summary of ICMS, it will set forth what is known about the long-term stability of the sensory effects of ICMS in three parts. The first part will discuss the stability of the behavioral effect of microstimulation as a function cortical depth. The second will describe the changes in electrode impedance seen during electrical stimulation as a potential approach to elucidating changes seen in the behavioral detection level. The final section will describe postmortem histological analysis of interfacing tissue as an alternative approach to understanding the behavioral changes.

**Background**

Stimulation of various sensory cortices offers a versatile platform for sensory prostheses. The logic for this is as follows: (1) Regions of the brain are responsible for processing information from the outside world and, in doing so, generating a perception in the individual. Thus, all awareness of the outside world is a product of this information processing conducted by the networks of neurons. (2) Electric current can be used to artificially drive the activity of those neurons. This driven activity produces an illusory sensation in the subject, the qualities of which are determined by the region that is stimulated. For example, stimulation of the visual cortex creates a visual illusion. Therefore, given points (1) and (2), electrical stimulation of the sensory cortex could restore vision to the blind, hearing to the deaf, and sensation to the paralyzed.

The first well-documented demonstration of electric cortical stimulation’s ability to generate sensory illusions was performed by the neurosurgeon Wilder Penfield (Penfield and Boldrey, 1937). Using simple electrodes applied to what is now referred to as the primary somatosensory cortex, Penfield was able to electrically stimulate conscious patients and have them report the evoked sensations, their location, and quality. In doing so, he was able to generate the iconic sensory homunculus. Penfield continued to study the effects of electrical stimulation on the cortex (Penfield and Mullan, 1957; Penfield and Perot, 1963), but his work tended to focus on higher order structures and was never technologically developed in a way that would lend itself to a sensory prosthesis.

Prosthetic development drove other researchers working in the 1960s and 1970s to systemize the reports of patients receiving cortical stimulation, typically of the primary visual cortex (Brindley and Lewin, 1968; Dobelle and Mladejovsky, 1974). Using surface electrodes placed over the target region, these researchers were able to study the reports of patients and the effects of stimulation
parameters either in an acute experiment that only lasted a few minutes during a surgery (Dobelle et al., 1973) or chronically with patients implanted for years (Dobelle, 2000). While the studies did show that some information could be transferred to individuals and that these effects could last years, the large surface electrodes were too limited in terms of the quality and degree of information transferred to make them a viable prosthetic option.

Building on previous work in nonhuman primates (Bartlett and Doty, 1980), another group attempted to improve upon surface stimulation by using microelectrodes implanted within the visual cortex (Bak et al., 1990; Schmidt et al., 1996). These experiments confirmed that ICMS could be employed to increase the density of stimulation electrodes and that they could deliver more channels of information. They also demonstrated that the amount of electric current required to create a detectable sensation in the stimulated individual was two to three orders of magnitude less for ICMS when compared to surface stimulation.

Since that time, work has focused primarily on animal behavioral models. Because the artificially generated sensations require a conscious agent to report on the generated sensation, animals are trained to perform tasks under known physical stimuli which are subsequently replaced by electrical stimuli. Two excellent examples of such tasks can be found in the somatosensory system (O’Doherty et al., 2009; Romo et al., 1998, 2000), where monkeys have been trained to discriminate between the rate of flutter at their finger tips, and in the visual system, where monkeys have learned to perform a delayed saccade to demonstrate where a light appears in their visual field (Murasugi et al., 1993; Salzman et al., 1990; Tehovnik et al., 2003). After the animals perform these tasks in response to known physical stimuli, the behavior is then elicited using electrical stimuli.

While such elegant tasks are useful in determining the subjective features of ICMS, simple detection tasks in which the animal indicates the presence of a sensation can also be elucidating (Butovas and Schwarz, 2007; Rousche and Normann, 1999). Such studies with simpler tasks are useful for evaluating the stimulation parameters that determine the behavioral salience of the electric pulses because experimenters can rapidly explore a variety of factors (Otto et al., 2005a,b). Additionally, such studies could also be helpful in determining the reliability of ICMS in terms of threshold stability. However, systematic exploration of longitudinal detection thresholds for electrical stimulation is lacking, particularly with regard to the cortical depth of the electrode. Wildly fluctuating or steadily rising thresholds are undesirable and must be avoided if ICMS is to become a clinically viable option for treating individuals with sensory deficits. Here, we report recent data from our laboratory that seeks to assess the reliability of ICMS.

### Experimental overview

In our behavioral paradigm, water-deprived male Sprague-Dawley rats are trained to perform a conditioned avoidance task to detect a sensory stimulus. This protocol was adapted from earlier studies by Heffner and Kelly (Heffner et al., 1994; Kelly et al., 2006) and is described here only briefly.

After 24 h of water deprivation, a rat is placed in a Coulbourn Instruments (Whitehall, PA) behavioral box in a sound isolated chamber. Water flows through an electrically active drinking spout in response to the rat licking the spout. A pure, unmodulated tone is played for 600 ms and acts as a warning. The rat’s licking is monitored for the last 200 ms of the warning trial. If the rat continues to lick during this period, he receives a mild 1 mA cutaneous shock delivered through the spout. If the rat withdraws from the spout during the last 200 ms of the warning trial, a “hit” is recorded and the amplitude of the next stimulus is then raised and a “miss” is recorded. However, if the rat withdraws from the spout during the last 200 ms of the warning trial, a “hit” is recorded and the amplitude of the next stimulus is lowered. After five to seven detection reversals (changes between series of hits and misses), the mean of the final four reversals is calculated and recorded as the
detection threshold. This is referred to as the “threshold task,” a representative example of which can be seen in Fig. 1c.

To ensure that the rat is maintaining good contact with the spout, warning trials are presented randomly with a 1 in 5 probability, while 4 out of 5 trials are shams in which no warning is presented. If the rat leaves the spout for more than 20% of the sham trials, the series is eliminated from analysis. Once a rat demonstrates that it can consistently perform the task near its putative threshold (Kelly and Masterton, 1977) for a range of frequencies within a single training session, thus generating an audiogram like the one seen in Fig. 1a, it is implanted in its primary auditory cortex (A1) with a single shank, 16 site, 100 μm pitch NeuroNexus (Ann Arbor, MI) microelectrode array in a procedure described in a previous publication (Vetter et al., 2004). During surgery, electrophysiology is performed to ensure that the electrode is placed within the primary auditory cortex and that the site depth is approximately the same for all rats. The electrodes are implanted to span the cortical lamina (Fig. 1b); to confirm cortical depth, local field potentials are recorded, and the current source density is computed to confirm that the electrode array abides in the desired lamina (Müller-Preuss and Mitzdorf, 1984) as seen in Fig. 2.

**Behavioral thresholds**

Using the adaptive task described above, multiple thresholds can be generated by an animal in a day. Our experimental preparation provides 16 sites linearly over a distance of 1.5 mm, and thus, stimulation can be delivered to all layers of the rat auditory cortex (Paxinos and Watson, 2008), and a behavioral threshold can be generated for all 16 sites in a given rat, each day.

For the experiment presented here, six Sprague-Dawley rats were used (Harlan: Indianapolis, IN) in order to study the effect of electrode site depth on the detection threshold over the first month after implantation. These data, representing 1273 thresholds, have been analyzed in two ways. The first analysis was performed to show the role of depth on the detection threshold. For the second analysis, the data have been lumped into groups representing four relative cortical depths and displayed by day in order to demonstrate how threshold stability varies over time with cortical depth.

**Threshold level varies with depth**

Thresholds for all six rats were combined and averaged by electrode site depth. This data is shown in Fig. 3. For the six rats studied, there are three distinct regions in terms of threshold level as follows: The first region is represented by the most superficial sites which are ~0–300 μm below the cortical surface and correspond to the first and second lamina (as schematized in Fig. 1b). These sites have the highest threshold levels and are statistically equivalent. The second region represents a linear transition from the superficial region to the third “deep” region, roughly corresponding to third lamina to fifth lamina. Regression analysis of the threshold means for sites 300–1300 μm deep shows a strong linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.98$) with regard to electrode site depth. The third region contains the deepest sites, corresponding to the transition between the fifth and sixth lamina, and has the lowest thresholds. These findings recapitulate previous microstimulation studies performed in the visual cortex which have demonstrated a similar depth-dependent effect (Bak et al., 1990; DeYoe et al., 2005; Tehovnik and Slocum, 2009).

**Threshold stability varies with depth**

This experiment also helps to elucidate how the depth of the electrode site affects device performance over time. During the 31 days after electrode placement, there appear to be three distinct phases as seen in Fig. 4. In the first phase,
which represents the first week after implantation, there is a relatively small difference between the superficial sites and the deep sites. Additionally, there is a gradual rise in the thresholds for all sites. In the second phase, which represents roughly the second week after surgery, the superficial and deep sites begin to separate with threshold levels for the deepest sites declining and the most superficial sites remaining relatively constant. In the third and final phase, thresholds begin to increase again for all but the deepest sites.

Fig. 1. Experimental background. (a) Sample audiogram generated by a rat in a single day. (b) Schematic representation of rat auditory cortex delineating histological layers as well as relative electrode site placement. (c) Sample rat adaptive threshold task: “○” represents a hit, “×” represents a miss, the bold line represents the threshold estimate.
Impedance

Implanted electrodes and adjacent tissue form an electrochemical interface that can be characterized via electrochemical measurement techniques. The most common, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measures the impedance magnitude and phase via sinusoidal voltage excitation between the electrode and distant reference at multiple frequencies. EIS provides insight on recording noise levels and safe stimulation levels. Chronic functionality of intracortical microelectrodes requires stable interfacial impedance; however, this is challenging. The reactive tissue response has been shown to affect the impedance at the electrode–tissue interface since encapsulated, damaged tissue display high impedance (Williams et al., 2007). Electrical stimulation can further alter the electrical properties of the tissue, as well as the electrode (Cogan et al., 2004; Weiland and Anderson, 2000; Wilks et al., 2009).

Historical studies show impedance measurements from chronically implanted electrodes showing trends of increasing impedance during the first 2–3 weeks, and then stabilizing, with a reduction in variability between sites and days (Ludwig et al., 2006; Vetter et al., 2004). This trend corresponds well with the time course of the reactive tissue response (Williams et al., 2007). Figure 5a shows average daily 1 kHz impedance magnitude for three probes implanted in three different rats and subjected to daily microstimulation. The impedance increases sharply during the first week after
implantation and then gradually decreases back to baseline after day 21. Rather than stabilizing, impedance magnitude and site-to-site variability increase after this point. A similar trend is observed with the day-to-day changes in behavioral thresholds (Fig. 4).

Pronounced changes in the impedance spectra occur after 2 days postimplant and a subsequent trial of microstimulation. As seen in Fig. 5b, the Nyquist plot becomes gradually less linear over the duration of the implant associated with the progression of the reactive tissue response. The original linearity is partially restored after a trial of microstimulation, similar to that seen with in vivo voltage biasing (Otto et al., 2006). As previously seen with the in vivo biasing, the reduction in impedance is temporary, and the increase in impedance magnitude and variability after 3 weeks of implantation is most likely attributed to tissue and electrode material damage caused by microstimulation amplitudes as high as 50–100 μA (1.6–3.2 mC/cm²) which exceed the threshold for neural damage (Shannon, 1992) and iridium oxide damage (Cogan et al., 2004; Wilks et al., 2009).

EIS data can be fit to an equivalent circuit model to enable in vivo assessment of both the electrode and tissue. As seen in Fig. 6a, the model comprises a constant phase element (CPE) representing the electrode component, a sealing resistance ($R_{en}$) representing adsorbed proteins, extracellular resistance ($R_{ex}$), and parallel RC (resistive/capacitive) components representing cell membranes of the glial sheath (Johnson et al., 2005; Otto et al., 2006). The electrode component is broken down into a magnitude ($K$) and phase scaling term ($\alpha$), and the membrane component is lumped into a single scaling term ($A_m$). Figure 6b shows impedance spectra and fitted model data, as well as individual tissue
and electrode model components, pre- and post-
microstimulation application. Microstimulation
leads to an immediate decrease in impedance
with the largest changes occurring in $A_m$ and $R_{ex}$
(Fig. 6c), indicating changes in the encapsulated
tissue and extracellular space.

Maintaining a stable, low-impedance interface
is important in the continuing functionality of
intracortical microelectrodes. Changes in the cell-
lar environment influence the day-to-day
impedance changes which are similar to the day-
to-day changes in behavioral threshold levels,
revealing a complex interaction between the reac-
tive tissue response, changes in electrode pro-
properties, and device performance. Repeated
microstimulation likely results in additional tissue
and electrode damage. However, because the
stimulation seems to necessarily disrupt the tissue
response adjacent to the electrode site, it is diffi-
cult to systematically study this interaction. None-
theless, it remains an a priori truth that the
development of devices and techniques to miti-
gate adverse tissue responses and deliver non-
damaging, behaviorally relevant electrical
stimulation to the electrode and tissue is essential
to maintain a stable, low-impedance interface and
a healthy surrounding neural population.

## Histology

To investigate whether and how tissue changes at
the electrode interface might be affecting long-
term device utility, histological labeling and
imaging techniques are often employed (Polikov et al., 2005; Stensaas and Stensaas, 1978; Turner et al., 1999). Microscope-based analysis, akin to traditional pathological analysis, can be performed on brain tissue to investigate the tissue response in each subject at a single, final time point. Typically, the locations of applied biomarkers relative to explanted-device holes
within each tissue slice are imaged and analyzed. The reason that devices are explanted from tissue is to avoid dragging or shattering them upon slicing the tissue and to simplify the histological preparation. The tissue is thinly sliced in order to both improve the even diffusion of applied biomarker labels and avoid limitations on light penetration through the somewhat opaque tissue upon microscope analysis.

Fig. 6. Modeling effects of stimulation on electrode impedance. (a) Equivalent circuit model of the electrode–tissue interface. (b) Nyquist plots of measured and modeled impedance spectra from an electrode pre- and postmicrostimulation. (c) Significant changes in 1 kHz impedance and model parameters occur pre- and postmicrostimulation, especially for the tissue components $R_{en}, R_{ex}$, and $A_m$ ($n = 350$, paired t-test, $p < 0.01$).
While explanting devices and taking thin (<50 μm) tissue slices has provided significant data on the tissue changes that occur around chronic ICMS arrays (McConnell et al., 2009; Turner et al., 1999; Winslow and Tresco, 2010), this method produces tissue with morphological distortion at the interfacing tissue (Holecko et al., 2005). The former location of electrode sites is also difficult to determine once the device has been explanted. Also, because histological labels diffuse from applied solutions into the tissue, surfaces contacting the solution can have increased labeling, leading to possibly misleading label intensity at the surface of explant holes. To avoid these problems, the authors have developed a method to collect, label, and image the in situ implanted device and its surrounding tissue.

Figure 7 presents example microscope data taken around an implanted device, left in situ within a thicker (>100 μm) histological slice. Using chemical and immunohistochemical labeling techniques, biomarkers for inflammation, specific cell types, and other items of interest can be fluorescently tagged. After labeling, the tissue and devices can then be imaged using a laser scanning confocal microscope. Images taken along the depth of the intact implant (Fig. 7a and b) allow investigation of tissue changes related to cortical depth. Close investigation of tissue at the device surface or even around individual electrode sites is also performed (Fig. 7c–e), revealing the local distribution of labeled elements relative to the intact electrode–tissue interface.

**Conclusion and future work**

The development of a functional sensory prosthesis that interfaces directly with the human cortex is a daunting challenge with many obstacles to overcome. However, before any of these may be addressed, it is important to first optimize the electrode design, the implantation technique, and the stimulation parameters.

This chapter reports data and two potential ways of assessing that data. First, the data clearly demonstrate that there is a laminar variation in terms of detection threshold for ICMS in which the deepest sites, roughly corresponding to layers V and VI, are the most sensitive. Second, the data also demonstrate that there is laminar variation in terms of threshold stability over the first month after implantation. Sites that are deepest in cortex maintain their threshold during the 1-month trial

![Fig. 7. In situ histology to investigate the intact device/tissue interface. (a) Transmission light image showing a microelectrode array captured in fixed brain tissue and imaged by laser confocal microscopy. (b) Microglia, labeled by immunohistochemically tagging the protein Iba1 with the fluorescent marker Alexa Fluor 633, are seen in a single optical section responding in a layer-dependent fashion around this 1-week implanted device. (c) A 10-μm-thick z-stack of images shows the device surface imaged by collecting laser reflectance; (d) microglia at this same location are shown responding to the presence of the device 24 h after implantation. (e) Microglia filopodia investigating the device’s surface and neighboring tissue are further presented in this image overlay. Scale bars indicate 200 μm in (a) and (b), and 50 μm in (c–e).](image-url)
period, while the thresholds for more superficial sites tend to gradually increase. These two facts taken together suggest further investigation of the potential for deep layers to provide consistent stimulation. However, additional work needs to be conducted to determine the source of the laminar variation.

Data addressing the source of the laminar variation may be collected through impedance spectroscopy, which attempts to model the magnitude of the cellular and extracellular tissue response to the implanted electrode. This approach offers an obvious advantage in that it may be performed concurrently with the behavioral task and does not require that the animal be euthanized. A potential hypothesis is that more superficial sites undergo a larger reactive tissue response and, thus, receive the most damage to the neighboring neurons. If this is the case, then those sites should see the greatest increase in impedance levels, signifying that the cellular encapsulation is most virulent at these layers. However, to date, there has not been any strong correlation between electrode impedance values with either site depth or with threshold level. While this may seem to contradict the above hypothesis, it must be noted that the electrical stimulation presents a strong confounding factor by electrical disruption of the glial encapsulation, lowering the impedance as seen in Fig. 6. Future work will focus on refining the model and exploring other parameters that may better correlate with or predict changes in the detection thresholds.

The second potential means of assessing the stability difference between cortical layers is through histology. Using in situ techniques which capture the electrode along with the neighboring tissue, we hope to analyze and describe histological markers that could help to explain variations in threshold stability. The primary drawback to this approach is that it requires that the experiment be terminated. Due to the longitudinal behavioral data, animals are often not sacrificed until the device fails or the animal becomes infected. This has frustrated work to fully analyze these effects; therefore, future work will attempt to perform in vivo imaging concurrent with the behavioral and impedance spectroscopy measurements.

Finally, additional future work will seek to expand on these findings by exploring novel, potentially more efficient stimulation waveforms, which have been designed to take advantage of the nonlinearities of the voltage-gated sodium channel (McIntyre and Grill, 2000, 2002). Such pulses, employing asymmetric biphasic morphologies, have been shown to lower detection thresholds in cochlear implant users (van Wieringen et al., 2008). However, to the authors’ knowledge, these pulses have never been evaluated in the context of ICMS. Additionally, an effort will be made to determine the behavioral safe limits of high duty electrical stimulation using studies similar in design to other chronic stimulation experiments (McCreery et al., 1997, 2002).
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